

COURSE UNIT DESCRIPTION

| Course unit title | Code |
|-------------------------|------|
| Programamming Languages | |

Annotation Students get familiar with the development trends of programming languages, formal grammars, basic constructions of programming language and data structures. They learn to evaluate and to select the programming language in various aspects for particalar solutions.

| Lecturer(s) | Department, Faculty | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Coordinating: dr. Vaidas Giedrimas | Šiauliai Academy | | |

| Study cycle | Type of the course unit |
|---------------------|-------------------------|
| First cycle studies | Compulsory |

| Mode of delivery | Semester or period when it is delivered | Language of instruction |
|------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Face-to-face | Spring semester | Lithuanian/English |

| Requisites | | |
|----------------|------------------------------|--|
| Prerequisites: | Co-requisites (if relevant): | |

| Number of ECTS credits allocated | Student's workload (total) | Contact hours | Individual work |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 5 | 133 | 64 | 71 |

| Purpose of the course unit: programme competences to be developed | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The subject of programming languages aims to develop analytical thinking, master the insights of programming | | | | | | |
| languages development trends, synt | languages development trends, syntax and semantics, formal grammar, criteria for assessing the quality of | | | | | |
| programming languages and practical | skills to independently evaluate and se | lect programming languages. | | | | |
| Learning outcomes of the course | Teaching and learning methods | Assessment methods | | | | |
| unit | | | | | | |
| Will be able to analyze the | Interactive lecture, analysis of | Exam | | | | |
| development trends of programming | references | | | | | |
| languages, types of classifications, | | | | | | |
| implementation mechanisms. | | | | | | |
| Will know formal grammars and | Interactive lecture, laboratory work, | Exam, Defense of laboratory work | | | | |
| languages. | analysis of references | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Will be able to perform a comparative | Interactive lecture, laboratory work, | Exam, Defense of laboratory work, | | | | |
| analysis of the syntax and semantics | analysis of references | Homework | | | | |
| of the main programming languages. | | | | | | |
| Know the evaluation criteria of | Interactive lecture, Discussion, | Exam, Defense of laboratory work. | | | | |
| programming languages. | laboratory work, analysis of | | | | | |
| | references | | | | | |
| Will be able to consistently explain | Interactive lecture, laboratory work, | Exam | | | | |
| programming paradigms and | analysis of references | | | | | |
| algorithms in typical and new areas | | | | | | |
| of application systems projects. | | | | | | |

| Will be able to work independently, | Laboratory work, Discussion | Exam, Defense of laboratory work, |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| will acquire practical skills in | | Homework |
| selecting the appropriate | | |
| programming languages. | | |

| | Contact hours | | | | | | Individual work: time and assignments | | |
|--|---------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Course content: breakdown of the topics | | Tutorials | Seminars | Workshops | Laboratory work | Internship/work placement | Contact hours, total | Individual work | Assignments |
| The evolution of programming languages, its trends. Programming paradigms. | 4 | | | | 0 | | 4 | 5 | Independent study of subject literature, exam |
| Formal methods of language description. Vocabulary. Syntax. Semantics.Formal grammars and their taxonomy. | 4 | | | | 4 | | 8 | 5 | Independent study of subject literature, exam |
| Contextual languages. Regular languages. Free context languages. Unambiguity of grammars and languages. | 4 | | | | 4 | | 8 | 5 | Laboratory work 1,Independent study of subject literature, exam |
| 4. BNF. Syntax diagrams. | 2 | | | | 4 | | 6 | 5 | Laboratory work 2, Independent study of subject literature, exam |
| 5. Lexicon. Data types and structures. | 4 | | | | 6 | | 10 | 5 | Laboratory work 3,Independent study of subject literature, exam |
| 6. Control structures | 6 | | | | 6 | | 12 | 10 | Laboratory work 4, Independent study of subject literature, exam |
| 7. Procedures and functions | 4 | | | | 6 | | 10 | 10 | Homework, Independent study of subject literature, exam |
| Programming language standards. Translators. Compatibility of programming languages.Evaluation criteria for programming languages. | 4 | | | | 2 | | 6 | 9 | Independent study of subject literature, exam |
| 9. Preparation for exam | 32 | | | | 32 | | 64 | 17 71 | |

| Assessment strategy | Weight % | Deadline | Assessment criteria |
|---------------------|----------|---------------------|--|
| Laboratory work 1 | 10% | During the semester | The student individually performs a laboratory work task from the topic of language grammar. Laboratory work is evaluated from 0 to 10 points. Evaluation Criteria: |

| | | | Implementation of the requirements specified in the task, accurate application of appropriate statements. (70%) Ability to substantiate the implemented solutions, compare them with possible alternative solutions. (20%) Evaluate the complexity and problems of the realized situation. (10%). Without laboratory work (0%) |
|-------------------|-----|------------------------|--|
| Laboratory work 2 | 10% | During the semester | The student individually performs a laboratory work task from the topic of syntax diagrams. The student must specify the problem formulated in the task. Laboratory work is evaluated from 0 to 10 points. Evaluation Criteria: • Implementation of the requirements specified in the task, accurate application of appropriate statements. (70%) • Ability to substantiate the implemented solutions, compare them with possible alternative solutions. (20%) • Evaluate the complexity and problems of the solution (10%). • Without laboratory work (0%) |
| Laboratory work 3 | 10% | During the semester | The student individually performs a laboratory work task from the analysis of data types and structures of selected languages. The student must specify the problem formulated in the task. Laboratory work is evaluated from 0 to 10 points. Evaluation Criteria: • Implementation of the requirements specified in the task, accurate application of appropriate statements. (70%) • Ability to substantiate the implemented solutions, compare them with possible alternative solutions. (20%) • Evaluate the complexity and problems of the solution. (10%). • Without laboratory work (0%) |
| Laboratory work 4 | 10% | During the semester | The student individually performs a laboratory work task from the analysis of selected language management structures. The student must specify the problem formulated in the task. Laboratory work is evaluated from 0 to 10 points. Evaluation Criteria: Implementation of the requirements specified in the task, accurate application of appropriate statements. (70%) Ability to substantiate the implemented solutions, compare them with possible alternative solutions. (20%) Evaluate the complexity and problems of the solution. (10%). Without laboratory work (0%) |
| Homework | 10% | During the semester | The student individually performs a homework assignment from an analysis of selected language features and procedures. The student must specify the problem formulated in the task. Laboratory work is evaluated from 0 to 10 points. Evaluation Criteria: • Implementation of the requirements specified in the task, accurate application of appropriate statements. (70%) • Ability to substantiate the implemented solutions, compare them with possible alternative solutions. (20%) • Evaluate the complexity and problems of the solution. (10%). • Undelivered homework (0%) |
| Exam | 50% | Exam's session | The exam covers the knowledge and skills developed in all course topics. The exam test consists of 10 open-ended questions and / or tasks. Each question examines the application of the concepts and material in an imaginary |

| practical situation. Each task is evaluated with 1 point. Final evaluation: |
|--|
| • Excellent and very good subject knowledge and skills. (10- 9 correct answers) |
| • Good knowledge and skills, there may be minor mistakes. |
| (8 correct answers) |
| • Average knowledge and skills, there are mistakes. (7 |
| correct answers) |
| • Knowledge and skills are below average, there are |
| significant mistakes. (6 correct answers) |
| • Knowledge and skills still meet the minimum |
| requirements. Lots of mistakes. Level of knowledge and |
| understanding. (5 correct answers). |
| • Minimum requirements are not met. 0-4 correct answers. |

| Author | Publishing year | Title | Issue of a periodical or volume of a publication; pages | Publishing house or internet site |
|---|--------------------|---|---|--|
| Required reading | | | | |
| R.W. Sebesta | 2012 | Concepts of Programming Languages. 10 th ed. Pearson, 816 pages. | | https://vulms.vu.edu.pk/ Courses/CS508/Downl oads/Concepts%20of% 20Programming%20La nguages%2011th%20E d.pdf |
| Maurizio Gabbrielli, Simone Martini (2010). | 2010 | Programming Languages: Principles and Paradigms (Undergraduate Topics in Computer Science). 440 pages | | Springer, http://websrv.dthu.edu. vn/attachments/newsev ents/content2415/Progr amming_Languages _Principles_and_Parad igms_thereds1106.pdf |
| Arvind Kumar Bansal | 2013 | Introduction to Programming Languages, 624 pages. | | Chapman and Hall/CRC |
| Recommended reading | | | | |
| D. P. Friedman, M. Wand | 2008 | Essentials of Programming Languages, 3 ed., 432 pages. | | MIT Press |
| Bjørner, D. Henson M.C | 2008 | Logics of Specification Languages | | Springer, |