

COURSE UNIT (MODULE) DESCRIPTION

Course unit (module) title	Code
Contemporary Problems of Ontology	

Lecturer(s):	Department(s) where the course unit (module) is			
	delivered			
Coordinator: prof. dr. Kristupas Sabolius	Faculty of Philosophy, Institute of Philosophy			
Other(s):				

Study cycle	Level of the course unit (module)	Type of the course unit (module)
First		Mandatory

Mode of delivery	Semester or period when the	Language of instruction
	course	
	unit is delivered	
Face-to-face	Spring semester	Lithuanian

Requirement	s for students
Prerequisites:	Additional requirements (if any):
None	None

Course (module) volume in credits	Total student's workload	Contact hours	Individual work
5	132	48	84

Purpose of the course unit (module): programme competences to be developed

The aim of the course is to acquaint students with the most fundamental problems of modern ontology and their dominant solutions, taking into account the specifics of contemporary continental philosophy. It is also aimed to develop students' critical and analytical thinking, consistency of thinking, as well as the ability to understand methods of argumentation and critically evaluate arguments in academic and everyday discourses.

methods of argumentation and critically evaluate a		ay discourses.
Learning outcomes of the course unit (module)	Teaching and learning methods	Assessment methods
 Asking questions, formulating a thesis, arguing one's position, looking for appropriate solutions by analyzing complex and controversial problems. Analyzing and critically evaluating the surrounding environment, reflecting on one's activities, recognize deep problems, looking for ways to solve problems. Being able to find solutions in areas that require creative thinking. Formulating certain thought clearly and logically, argue and justify it during a discussion. 	Lectures, seminars, consultations (contact and online), independent work with literature.	Written exam and essay. Students' familiarity with course topics during examinations is evaluated from 1 (very bad) to 10 (excellent) points.
 Understanding discourse and horizon of contemporary philosophy in selected areas. 		
- Being able to raise questions and search for answers in order to understand the		

	origin and development of contemporary challenges.
-	Being able to use philosophical terminology, independently analyze and interpret philosophical texts.
-	Being able to analyze and evaluate scientific, cultural and social phenomena from a philosophical perspective.

Course content: breakdown of the topics Sample Sampl				Cor	ıtact	hou	rs			dividual work: time
I. Introduction. The Origins of ontology 6 2 6 10 Seminar text: Simondon, Gil 2020, Individual in light of notion form information., p 20 20 3. Virtuality and potentiality 4 2 6 10 Deleuze. Bergsonism, p. 49.										and assignments
I. Introduction. The Origins of ontology 6 2 6 10 Seminar text: Simondon, Gil 2020, Individual in light of notion form information., p 20 20 3. Virtuality and potentiality 4 2 6 10 Deleuze. Bergsonism, p. 49.		Lectures	Tutorials	Seminars	Exercises	Laboratory work	Internship/work placement	Contacts hours	Individual work	Assignments
2. The problem of individuation 4 2 6 10 Seminar text: Simondon, Gill 2020, Individua in light of notion form information., p 20 3. Virtuality and potentiality 4 2 6 10 Deleuze. Bergsonism, p. 49. 4. Specters and hauntology 4 2 6 10 Seminar text: Mcillassoux, Quentin. 2 Spectral Dilen p. 261-276 5. Speculative realisms, materialisms, objectoriented ontology 5. Agential realism 4 2 6 10 Meillassoux, Quentin. 2 After Finitude, 27. 6. Agential realism 4 2 6 10 Seminar text: Barad, Karen. 2 Meeting the Universe Halfw Quantum Physical and the Entanglement of Matter and Meaning, p 132 185 7. Impotentiality 4 2 6 10 Seminar text: Agamben, Giol 1999. Potential p. 177-184. 8. Plasticity 4 2 6 10 Seminar text: Malabou, Catherine. 2 What Should Do with Our Br.	1. Introduction. The Origins of ontology	6	,							
4. Specters and hauntology 4. Specters and hauntology 4. Spectras and hauntology 4. Spectras and hauntology 4. Spectras and hauntology 5. Speculative realisms, materialisms, object-oriented ontology 5. Speculative realisms, materialisms, object-oriented ontology 6. Agential realism 4. 2 6 10 Seminar text: Barad, Karen. 2 Meeting the Universe Halfw Quantum Physicand the Entanglement on Matter and Meaning, p 132 185 7. Impotentiality 4. 2 6 10 Seminar text: Agamben, Gion 1999. Potentiality p. 177-184. 8. Plasticity 4. 2 6 10 Seminar text: Malabou, Catherine. 2 What Should Do with Our Br. 3 Weeting the Universe Halfw Quantum Physicand the Entanglement on Matter and Meaning, p 132 185		4		2				6	10	Simondon, Gilbert. 2020, Individuation in light of notions of form and information., p. 1-
Meillassoux, Quentin. 2 Spectral Dilen p. 261-276 5. Speculative realisms, materialisms, object- oriented ontology 6. Agential realism 4 2 6 10 Meillassoux, Quentin. 2 After Finitude, 27. 6. Agential realism 4 2 6 10 Seminar text: Barad, Karen. 2 Meeting the Universe Halfw Quantum Physiand the Entanglement on Matter and Meaning, p 132 185 7. Impotentiality 4 2 6 10 Seminar text: Agamben, Gion 1999. Potentiality 8. Plasticity 4 2 6 10 Seminar text: Malabou, Catherine. 2 What Should Do with Our Br	3. Virtuality and potentiality	4		2				6	10	Bergsonism, p. 37-49.
5. Speculative realisms, materialisms, object-oriented ontology 6. Agential realism 4 2 6 10 Meillassoux, Quentin. 2 After Finitude, 27. 6. Agential realism 4 2 6 10 Seminar text: Barad, Karen. 2 Meeting the Universe Halfw Quantum Physicand the Entanglement of Matter and Meaning, p 132 185 7. Impotentiality 4 2 6 10 Seminar text: Agamben, Gion 1999. Potentiality p. 177-184. 8. Plasticity 4 2 6 10 Seminar text: Magamben, Gion 1999. Potentiality p. 177-184. 8. Plasticity 4 2 6 10 Seminar text: Malabou, Catherine. 2 What Should Do with Our Britantial Catherine. 2	4. Specters and hauntology	4		2				6	10	Meillassoux, Quentin. 2008, Spectral Dilemma,
Barad, Karen. 2 Meeting the Universe Halfw Quantum Physic and the Entanglement of Matter and Meaning, p 132 185 7. Impotentiality 4 2 6 10 Seminar text: Agamben, Gion 1999. Potentialit p. 177-184. 8. Plasticity 4 2 6 10 Seminar text: Malabou, Catherine. 2 What Should Do with Our Br		4		2				6	10	Meillassoux, Quentin. 2008, After Finitude, p. 1- 27.
8. Plasticity 4 2 6 10 Seminar text: Malabou, Catherine. What Should Do with Our Br		4						6		Barad, Karen. 2007 Meeting the Universe Halfway: Quantum Physics and the Entanglement of Matter and Meaning, p 132- 185
8. Plasticity 4 2 6 10 Seminar text: Malabou, Catherine. 2 What Should Do with Our Br	7. Impotentiality	4		2				6	10	Agamben, Giorgio. 1999. Potentialities,
Total 34 14 48 84 p. 1-54.										Seminar text: Malabou,

Assessment strategy	Weight %	Deadline	Assessment criteria
Cumulative evaluation:	•		
Examination	60%		Good orientation in the subject matter; well acquainted with the sources, clearly understands the key concepts, theses, and arguments; presents smoothly and consistently – from 4 to 5 points.
			Recognizes common issues, understands common theses and arguments, presents consistently – from 1 to 3 points.
Seminar work	20%		Cumulative grade. The method of grade cumulation will depend on the number of students choosing the course. Demonstration of knowledge and competence, creativity in analyzing, explaining, and solving problems will be evaluated.
Presentation during seminar work	20%		Presentation of the assigned/selected part of the text. Recognizes key concepts and theses – 1 point. Recognizes key concepts and theses, presents the development of the text's argumentation – 2 points. Presents key issues, main theses, and arguments, delivers consistently, informatively, formulates essential questions – 3 points.

Author	Year of publication	Title	Issue of a periodical or volume of a publication	Publishing place and house or web link
Required reading	·			
Agamben, G.	1999	Potentialities		Stanford: Stanford University Press.
Barad, K.	2007	Meeting the Universe Halfway: Quantum Physics and the Entanglement of Matter and Meaning		Durham, North Carolina: Duke University Press.
Deleuze, G.	1997	Bergsonism		New York: Zone Books
Malabou, C.	2008	What Should We Do with Our Brain? 55-82		New York: Fordham University Press
Meillassoux, Q.	2008	After Finitude: An Essay on the Necessity of Contingency,		London:Continuum
Meillassoux, Q.	2008	Spectral Dilemma. In: Collapse IV. 261-276		London: Urbanomic
Simondon, G.	2020	Individuation in Light of Notions of Form and Information		University of Minnesota Press
Optional reading				
Badiou, A.	2004	Theoretical Writings. (Section I. Ontology is Mathematics)		London, New York: Continuum

anomic e.press en Court Zero Books Zero Books
e.press en Court en Court Zero Books Zero Books
e.press en Court en Court Zero Books Zero Books
en Court en Court Zero Books Zero Books Zero Books
en Court en Court Zero Books Zero Books Zero Books
en Court en Court Zero Books Zero Books Zero Books
en Court Zero Books Zero Books Zero Books
en Court Zero Books Zero Books Zero Books
en Court Zero Books Zero Books Zero Books
zero Books Zero Books Zero Books
Zero Books Zero Books Zero Books
Zero Books Zero Books Zero Books
Zero Books Zero Books Zero Books
Zero Books Zero Books
Zero Books Zero Books
Zero Books Zero Books
Zero Books
Zero Books
Zero Books
Edinburgh
ress
anomic
Polity Press
anomic
1 .
rbanomic
ophy:
and potentiality:
the real.
Gruyter. 2020,
p. 611-624. 8875
8875 rbanomic
oanomic
v York: Verso
1