

COURSE UNIT (MODULE) DESCRIPTION

| Course unit (module) title | Code |
|---|------|
| English Linguistics seminar (When Words Become Grammar (Introduction to | |
| Grammaticalization)) / Anglų kalbotyros seminaras (Kai žodžiai virsta gramatika (Įvadas į | |
| gramatikalizaciją)) su kursiniu darbu | |

| Lecturer(s) | Department(s) where the course unit (module) is delivered |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Coordinator: dr. Anna Daugavet | Department of English Philology |
| | Faculty of Philology |

| Study cycle | Type of the course unit (module) |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| First-cycle, BA | Compulsory-Optional |

| Mode of delivery | The period when the course unit (module) is delivered | Language(s) of instruction |
|------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Face to face | Spring | English |

| Requirements for students | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Prerequisites: | Additional requirements (if any): | | | | | | |
| English B2-C1 | No | | | | | | |
| Standard reference categories of ling | guistic | | | | | | |
| description | | | | | | | |

| Course (module) volume in credits | Total student workload | Contact hours | Self-study hours |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| 5 ECTS | 130 | 48 | 82 |

Purpose of the course unit (module): programme competences to be developed

The aim of the course is to provide students with an understanding of the grammaticalization theory and its research methods. Students will learn to recognize and identify instances of grammaticalization as revealed by the processes in the history of English and patterns of the modern language. The students will acquire the ability to identify and formulate research problems, collect sufficient data, and apply appropriate research methods as well as basic knowledge and understanding of research writing conventions.

| Learning outcomes of the course unit | Teaching and learning | | | Assessment methods | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-------|-----------|--------------------|----------|-------|---------|
| (module) | n | | | | | | |
| Upon successful completion of the course, | Interactive | lectu | res and | Cumu | lative | asse | ssment: |
| students will be able to demonstrate: | seminars, | study | reading, | - t | est | 1 | (15%) |
| | individual | | projects, | - t | est | 2 | (15%) |
| | presentation | ns of | projects, | - pr | esentati | ion | of a |
| | term paper. | | | researe | ch pro | oject | (10%) |

| ability to identify issues of grammaticalization and relate them to corresponding mechanisms and factors | Discussions of individual projects | - term paper (2,500–3,000 words) (60%) |
|---|---|--|
| ability to explain the mechanisms and factors of grammaticalization and use the established terminology of the field | Study reading and discussions | |
| ability to choose methods for an analysis of authentic language data in terms of the grammaticalization theory | Writing up Data & Methods section, peer-reviewing of other students Data & Methods sections | |
| ability to present new findings and use language evidence in order to support argumentation | Oral presentation of a research project and written term paper | |
| basic knowledge and understanding of research writing conventions | Term paper (2,500–3,000 words) on a topic of grammaticalization | |

| | | | C | onta | ct h | our | s | | | f-study work: time and assignments |
|----|--|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|---|
| | Content: breakdown of the topics | Lectures | Tutorials | Seminars | Exercises | Laboratory work | Internship/work | Contact hours | Self-study hours | Assignments |
| 1. | Introduction to the course unit: aims, structure, assessment. Synchrony vs diachrony. Language change. | | | 2 | | | | 2 | 2 | Croft (2000, 1–8), Hock (2021, 1–3), Hopper & Traugott (2003, 40–41) |
| 2. | Comparative historical linguistics. Regular sound changes vs analogy. | 2 | | | | | | 2 | 2 | Campbell (2013, 1–8, 14–15, 91–92), Hock (2021, 4–12) |
| 3. | Lexical vs grammatical units. Grammaticalization. A short (pre)history of the field. | 2 | | | | | | | 4 | Hopper & Traugott (2003, 19–38) |
| 4. | Mechanisms of grammaticalization. Reanalysis and analogy. | 2 | | | | | | 2 | 4 | Hopper & Traugott (2003, 50–70) |
| 5. | Research methods. Grammaticalization as revealed by language history and language variation. Frequency and | | | 2 | | | | 3 | 4 | Fischer et al. (2017, 8–28), Lindquist (2009, 167–185) |
| | patterning. | | | 2 | | | | 3 | 4 | |
| 6. | Test 1 | | | 2 | | | | 2 | 8 | |
| 7. | Structure of a research paper. Discussion of research topics and | | | 2 | | | | 2 | 4 | Šinkūnienė & Bartkuvienė (2024) |

| questions. | | | | | Choosing a research topic Formulating a working title and research questions |
|--|----|--|--|----|---|
| 8. Writing a Literature review. Referencing. | | 2 | 2 | 4 | Šinkūnienė & Bartkuvienė (2024) Writing the 1st draft of Introduction section |
| 9. Semantics and pragmatics. Pragmatic factors behind grammaticalization. Simplicity vs informativeness. | 2 | | 2 | 4 | Hopper & Traugott (2003, 71–81) |
| 10. Pragmatic inferencing. Conversational implicature. Metonymy vs metaphor in reanalysis and analogy. | 2 | | 2 | 4 | Hopper & Traugott (2003, 81–98) |
| 11. Generalization and polysemy. Decategorization. | 2 | | 2 | 4 | Hopper & Traugott (2003, 77–78, 100–109) |
| 12. Renewal and layering. Grammaticalization paths. Unidirectionality and counterexamples. | 2 | | 2 | 4 | Hopper & Traugott (2003, 110–115, 130–138) |
| 13. Test 2 | | 2 | 2 | 8 | |
| 14. Peer-reviews of Data & Methods section | | 4 | 4 | 5 | Peer-reviewing Data & Methods sections |
| 15. Peer-reviews of the 1st draft on an Introduction | | 4 | 4 | 5 | Peer-reviewing Introduction sections |
| 16. Reporting and discussing findings. Writing conclusions. Abstracts. | | 2 | 2 | 6 | Writing up Results and Discussion sections |
| 17. Presentations of individual projects | | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ | $\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{vmatrix}$ | 6 | Preparation of a presentation |
| Total | 14 | 3 | 4 | 82 | |
| | | 4 | 8 | | |

| Assessment strategy | Weigh | Deadline | Assessment criteria |
|---------------------------|-------|----------|--|
| | t,% | | |
| two tests (each including | 30% | Test 1 | Ten-point assessment scale: |
| 15 open theoretical | | (Week 5– | 10 (excellent). Excellent, exceptional knowledge and |
| questions) | | 6) | abilities. 95–100% of questions answered correctly. |
| $(15\% \times 2 = 30\%)$ | | | 9 (very good). Very good knowledge and abilities. |
| | | | 85–94 % of questions answered correctly. |
| | | Test 2 | 8 (good). Knowledge and abilities are above |
| | | (Week 9– | average. 75–84 % of questions answered correctly. |
| | | 10) | 7 (average). Average knowledge and abilities; there |
| | | | are a few not essential mistakes. 65–74 % of |
| | | | questions answered correctly. |
| | | | 6 (satisfactory). Knowledge and abilities are below |

| | | | average; there are mistakes. 55–64 % of questions answered correctly. 5 (weak). Knowledge and abilities meet the minimum requirements. 51–54 % of questions answered correctly. 4 (insufficient). Knowledge and abilities do not me the minimum requirements. 41–50% of questions answered correctly 3, 2, 1. Minimum requirements are not met. |
|--|-----|----------------|---|
| presentation of a research project (10%) | 10% | Week 13– 14 | Ten-point assessment scale. Assessment for: a) content relevance (25%), b) structure (25%), |
| research project (10%) | | 14 | c) academic conventions (25%), and d) language u |
| | | | (25%) (coherence & cohesion, lexical range, |
| | | | grammatical complexity and accuracy). |
| term paper (2,500 - | 60% | Week 15- | Ten-point assessment scale: Assessment for: |
| 3,000 60% words) | | 60 | a) content relevance (25%), b) structure (25%), |
| (60%) | | | c) academic conventions (25%), and d) language v |
| | | | (25%) (coherence & cohesion, lexical range, |
| | | | grammatical complexity and accuracy). |

| Author | Year of publi catio n | Title | Issue of a periodical or volume of a publication | Publishing place and house or web link |
|--|-----------------------|---|--|---|
| Compulsary reading | | | | |
| Hopper, Paul J. & Elizabeth Traugott | 2003 | Grammaticalization. 2nd edition. (Selected fragments) | | Cambridge: Cambridge University Press |
| Fischer, Olga & Hendrik de Smet, Wim van der Wurff | 2017 | A Brief History of English Syntax (Selected fragments) | | Cambridge: Cambridge University Press |
| Croft, William | 2000 | Explaining Language Change: An Evolutionary Approach | | London: Longman |
| Hock, Hans Heinreich | 2021 | Principles of Historical Linguistics. 3d edition. (Selected fragments) | | Berlin/Boston: De Gruyter Mouton |
| Campbell, Lyle | 2013 | Historical Linguistics. 3d edition. (Selected fragments) | | Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press |
| Lindquist, Hans | 2009 | Corpus Linguistics and the Description of English (Selected fragments) | | Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press |
| Jolanta Šinkūnienė & Linara Bartkuvienė | 2024 | How to Write a Research Paper in Linguistics, Literature, and Cultural Studies | | Vilnius University |

| Optional reading | | | | | | |
|------------------------|------|------------------------|--|---------------------|--|--|
| Lehmann, Christian | 2015 | Thoughts on | | Berlin: Language | | |
| | | Grammaticalization. 3d | | Science Press. | | |
| | | edition. | | | | |
| Heine, Bernd & Heiko | 2012 | The Oxford Handbook | | Oxford University | | |
| Narrog (eds) | | of Grammaticalization | | Press | | |
| Fischer, Olga & Anette | 2000 | Pathways of Change. | | Amsterdam/Philadelp | | |
| Rosenbach, Dieter | | Grammaticalization in | | hia: John Benjamins | | |
| Stein (eds.) | | English. | | _ | | |

Atnaujinta 2024-11-17