

COURSE UNIT (MODULE) DESCRIPTION

Course unit (module) title	Code
20th Century English Novel: From Modernism to Post-Postmodernism	3067

Lecturer(s)	Department(s) where the course unit (module) is delivered
	Department of English Philology Faculty of Philology

Study cycle	Type of the course unit (module)
2nd	Compulsory

Mode of delivery	Period when the course unit (module) is delivered	Language(s) of instruction
Interactive seminars	Spring semester (2)	English

Requirements for students							
Prerequisites: Proficient English (C 1)	Additional requirements (if any): Competences acquired in the courses Introduction to literary theory or Literary theory and practice, West-European Literary History; British Literary History of the 18-19 centuries and the 20th century						

Course (module) volume in credits	Total student's workload	Contact hours	Self-study hours
10	250	32	218

Purpose of the course unit (module): programme competences to be developed

To develop the understanding of the artistic achievement of the canonic texts; to build up the competence to analyze analytically canonic texts and their contexts; to instill skills of close reading and literary analysis of the texts. This course looks first at Modernism through the iconic texts of High Modernism which are significant both for the theory of narrative and its practice. We shall move on to examine the relations between history and narrative in postmodernist period which has seen an intensification of the crisis of representation and corresponding and obsessive self-reflexiveness. The novel genre has become the focus for literary theorising which tended to take literature as its subject. The seminar will explore new openings and recent developments in British literature which seem to suggest a new episteme in the making which points beyond postmodernism and raises a question whether what appears as newness is not rather a return to traditional concepts, theoretical premises and authorial practices.

not runer a retain to traditional concepts, theoretical premises and authorial practices.						
Learning outcomes of the course unit (module)	Teaching and learning methods	Assessment methods				
Specifically, the course aims at giving students:	The students will have to read	See Assessment methods				
	and study texts from the Primary	and criteria (p.7)				
- understanding of High Modernism,	(Compulsory) list and make					
Postmodernism, and literature after	presentations on the required	Formative assessment				
Postmodernism	subjects/issues.	Summative assessment				
- an understanding of the recent changing of the	Discussion, presentation,					
literary paradigm - turning to traditional	interpretive strategies, critical					
concepts, theoretical premises and authorial	approach					
practices.						
Students will be able to apply their knowledge and	Treatment Testaren (Source	Formative assessment				
understanding, and problem solving abilities in new or	research, systematization of	Summative assessment				
unfamiliar environments within broader (or	information), analytical methods.					
multidisciplinary) contexts related to their field of						
study;	Individual work:					
	Reading of research articles					
	 Reading of primary texts 					
	Written assignments					
	Work in class					

They will be able communicate their conclusions, and the knowledge and rationale underpinning these, to specialist and non-specialist audiences clearly and unambiguously;	
They will develop the learning skills to allow them to continue to study in a manner that may be largely self-directed or autonomous.	

	Contact hours						Self-study work: time and assignments		
Content: breakdown of the topics	Lectures	Tutorials	Seminars	Exercises	Laboratory work	Internship/ work	Contact hours	Self-study hours	Assignments
UNIT 1 Modernism: its roots and development; the general character of the innovative trends, movements, aesthetic and formal features			2				2		Analysis and discussion of the texts indicated in the Content segment ←
Texts for in-class discussion (and individual presentations assigned to students in advance):									Research-based presentations prepared by students in advance and delivered in class
Deborah Parsons, Theorists of the Modernist Novel. James Joyce, Dorothy Richardson, Virginia Woolf (uploaded on the VMA) Virginia Woolf, Collected Essays ("Character in									N.B. Texts assigned for both in-class discussion and individual reading are compulsory, not
Fiction", Mr. Bennett and Mrs. Brown", "Modern Fiction") Pound/ Joyce. The Letters of Ezra Pound to James Joyce with Pound's Essays on Joyce (uploaded on									optional
the VMA) T.S. Eliot, "Tradition and Individual Talent" (uploaded on the VMA)									

- A 1111 1	 	T 1	1
\$\mathscr{G}\$ Additional material, if there is any need for it,			
will be uploaded on the VMA throughout the unit.			
	2	1 2	
Student writing on the texts for in-class discussion	2		Pre-writing exercise (see
in UNIT 1. ↑			Content section).
Prewriting exercises (workshop class): after each			
unit and during the writing workshops, each			
student will come to class with a paragraph (typed)			
that articulates a problem within the boundaries of			
his or her chosen theoretical text, offers a critical			
reflection on it, or further contextualizes the			
reading. Students should also be prepared to write			
a paragraph in (an online) class and share their			
work with the group for discussion and critique.			
UNIT 2	6	6	Analysis and
			discussion of the texts
The new psychological realism, the new concept			
of character, diverse aesthetic experiments, self-			indicated in the Content
reflexive attention to style and form.			segment
			←
Texts for in-class discussion (and individual			Research-based
presentations assigned to students in advance):			presentations prepared
			by students in advance
James Joyce's <i>Dubliners</i> (for in-class discussion)			and delivered in class
Sonja Bašić, "A Book of Many Uncertainties:			N.B . Texts assigned for
Joyce's <i>Dubliners</i> " (uploaded on the VMA)			both in-class discussion
Benstock, Bernard, "The Gnomonics of			and individual reading
Dubliners" (uploaded on the VMA)			are compulsory, not
:			optional
Virginia Woolf's Jacob's Room (for in-class			optional
discussion)			
Alex Zwerdling, "Jacob's Room. Woolf's Satiric			
Elegy" (uploaded on the VMA)			
Theodore Koulouris, "Jacques Derrida in Virginia			
Woolf: Death, Loss and Mourning in Jacob's			
Room" (uploaded on the VMA)			
Kristina K. Groover, "The Conditions of Our			
Love": Seeking and Desiring in Jacob's Room"			
(uploaded on the VMA)			
1			
T.S. Eliot's <i>The Wasteland</i> (for in-class			
discussion)			
Rebecca Beasley, Theorists of Modernist Poetry.			
T.S. Eliot, T. E. Hulme and Ezra Pound			
,			
Virginia Woolf, Mrs. Dalloway (for individual			
reading)			
James Joyce, A Portrait of the Artist as a Young			
Man (for individual reading)			
\$\&\text{Additional material, if there is any need for it,}			
will be uploaded on the VMA throughout the unit.			
	 2	2	D :::
Student writing on the texts for in-class discussion	2		Pre-writing exercise
on Modernism in UNIT 2. ↑			(see Content section).
Prewriting exercises (workshop class): after each			
unit and during the writing workshops, each			
student will come to class with a paragraph (typed)			
that articulates a problem within the boundaries of			
his or her chosen theoretical texts, offers a critical			
·	 	 	

reflection on it, or further contextualizes the				
reading. Students should also be prepared to write				
a paragraph in (an online) class and share their				
work with the group for discussion and critique.				
UNIT 3	2		2	Analysis and
Postmodernism: its roots and development; the				discussion of the texts
general character of the innovative trends,				indicated in the Content
movements, aesthetic and formal features.				segment
				←
Texts for in-class discussion (and individual				Research-based
presentations assigned to students in advance):				presentations prepared
				by students in advance
				and delivered in class
Linda Hutcheon, A Poetics of Postmodernism:				N.B. Texts assigned for
History, Theory, Fiction (chapters assigned on				both in-class discussion
the VMA)				and individual reading are
Linda Hutcheon, Narcissistic Narrative. The				compulsory, not optional
Metafictional Paradox (chapters assigned on				
the VMA)				
Frederic Jameson, <i>Postmodernism</i> , or, the				
Cultural Logic of Late Capitalism (chapters				
assigned on the VMA)				
Jean-François Lyotard, <i>The Postmodern</i>				
•				
Condition (chapters assigned on the VMA)				
John Barth, The Literature of Exhaustion				
(uploaded on the VMA); The Literature of				
Replenishment (uploaded on the VMA)				
(C Additional motorial if there is any need for it				
Shadditional material, if there is any need for it,				
will be uploaded on the VMA throughout the				
unit.				
Student writing on the texts for in-class	2			Pre-writing exercise
discussion on Modernism in UNIT 3. ↑				(see Content section).
Prewriting exercises (workshop class): after				(
each unit and during the writing workshops,				
each student will come to class with a				
paragraph (typed) that articulates a problem				
within the boundaries of his or her chosen				
theoretical texts, offers a critical reflection on				
it, or further contextualizes the reading.				
Students should also be prepared to write a				
paragraph in (an online) class and share their				
work with the group for discussion and				
critique.				
UNIT 4	6	+ +	6	Analysis and
Metafiction and forms of novelistic self-				discussion of the texts
consciousness; mistrust of authorial				indicated in the Content
*				
omniscience; the concept of history as				segment ←
narrative, historiographic metafiction;				
postmodern scepticism toward "the grand				Research-based
narrative"; scepticism toward narrative as a				presentations prepared
meaning-providing structure.				by students in advance
				and delivered in class
Texts for in-class discussion (and individual				N.B . Texts assigned for
presentations assigned to students in advance):				both in-class discussion
				and individual reading

John Fowles, The French Lieutenant's Woman (1963) (for in-class discussion) Linda Hutcheon, Narcissistic Narrative. The Metafictional Paradox (Chapter on Freedom Through Artifice: The French Lieutenant's Woman" (p. 57-70) Patricia Waugh, Metafiction. The Theory and Practice of Self-Conscious Fiction (chapters assigned on the VMA) Margaret Atwood, The Handmaid's Tale (for in-class discussion) Marta Caminero-Santangelo, "Moving Beyond "The White Blank Spaces": Atwood's Gilead, Postmodernism, and Strategic Resistance" (uploaded on the VMA) Angela Carter, The Magic Toyshop (for inclass discussion) Angela Carter "Notes on the Gothic Mode" (uploaded on the VMA) Katarinà Labudovà, "Polyphonic Resonances of Fairy Tales and Myths: The Magic Toyshop and Life Before Man" (uploaded on the VMA) Maria Tatar, The Cambridge Companion to Fairy Tales (chapters assigned on the VMA) Jeanette Winterson, Oranges Are not the Only Fruit (for individual reading) Graham Swift, Waterland (for individual reading) Additional material, if there is any need for it, will be uploaded on the VMA throughout the unit.			are compulsory, not optional
Student writing on the texts for in-class discussion on Modernism in UNIT 4. ↑ Prewriting exercises (workshop class): after each unit and during the writing workshops, each student will come to class with a paragraph (typed) that articulates a problem within the boundaries of his or her chosen theoretical texts, offers a critical reflection on it, or further contextualizes the reading. Students should also be prepared to write a paragraph in (an online) class and share their work with the group for discussion and critique.	2		Pre-writing exercise (see Content section).
UNIT 5 After Post-Modernism: reconnecting language to the social sphere, reenergizing literature's social mission; reconnecting the personal and the social.	6		Analysis and discussion of the texts indicated in the Content segment ← Research-based
<u>Texts for in-class discussion</u> (and individual presentations assigned to students in advance):			presentations prepared by students in advance and delivered in class

Jonathan Franzen, "Why Bother?" (in How to Be Alone) (uploaded on the VMA) Irmtraud Huber, Literature After Postmodernism. Reconstructive Fantasies (chapters assigned on the VMA) Robert L. McLaughlin, "Post-Postmodern Discontent: Contemporary Fiction and the Social World" (uploaded on the VMA) Kazuo Ishiguro, Never Let Me Go (for in-class discussion) Arne de Boever, Narrative Care: Biopolitics and the Novel (chapter assigned on the VMA) Anne Whitehead, "Writing with Care: Kazuo Ishiguro's Never Let Me Go" (uploaded on the VMA) :: Cormac McCarthy, The Road (for in-class discussion) Berit Aström, "Post-Feminist Fatherhood and the Marginalization of the Mother in Cormac McCarthy's The Road" (p.1-18) (uploaded on the VMA) www.emokymai.vu.lt Kristin J. Anderson, Modern Misogyny. Anti-Feminism in a Post-Feminist Era (chapters assigned on the VMA) Andrew Keller Estes, "Environmental Criticism						N.B. Texts assigned for both in-class discussion and individual reading are compulsory, not optional
and (Post)Apocalypse"; "The End of the Road: Biocentric Maps" (p.190-209) \$\mathscr{G}\$ Additional material, if there is any need for it, will be uploaded on the VMA throughout the unit.						
Student writing on the texts for in-class discussion on Modernism in UNIT 5. ↑ Prewriting exercises (workshop class): after each unit and during the writing workshops, each student will come to class with a paragraph (typed) that articulates a problem within the boundaries of his or her chosen theoretical texts, offers a critical reflection on it, or further contextualizes the reading. Students should also be prepared to write a paragraph in (an online) class and share their work with the group for discussion and critique.		2				Pre-writing exercise (see Content section).
Pulling it all together: summing up of the course Total	250	32		32	218	

Assessment strategy	Weight Deadline	Assessment criteria
	₺⁄₀	

One Power Point presentation 2	20%	Throughout the term	The oral presentation is from 20 to 30 minutes in length. The oral presentation should meet the following criteria:
The presentation is graded on a 10-point scale N.B. The course instructor reserves the right to turn class assignments into home assignments in case of a conference, lecture, library visit, or any other (un)foreseen circumstances (for example, public/national holidays)		N.B. oral presentations shall not be delivered at the time which best fits in with the student's travel arrangements / family holidays etc. Oral presentations shall be delivered at the time appointed by the teacher of the course. If the student fails to make it at the time appointed, the student will lose the 20 % (unless a medical note is produced to	Preliminary title, Introduction (should orient the audience to your subject/research question and purpose), Body / Analysi (must proceed logically, the main point must be brought out one by one supported with relevant examples), Conclusions, List of References. Presentation assessment criteria: 1. Contents (specific knowledge and in-depth understanding of the content of the text/s reviewed/ analyzed in the oral presentation, a thorough grasp of the problem, logical intellectually adequate argumentation, attempt at balance critical insights); (40%) 2. Structure and organization (at both macro and micro levels cohesion, and coherence of statements, arguments, and examples; 'signposting' of ideas); (30%) 3. Academic language proficiency (academic register and appropriate use of terminology); (30%)
Written examination (two open-ended questions) The examination paper is graded on a 10-point scale	80 %	justify absence) June	 1. Structure and organization: → The over-all formal structure: (5%) the examination pape contains an intellectually engaging introduction* introducing the thesis of the paper, a body that provides substantive support the thesis, and a conclusion that goes beyond a mersummary, offering a connection to a broader but relevant point * It, however, shall not include in sweeping generalization unsubstantiated by credible academic references → The conceptual organization (at both macro and micro levels (20%): cohesion, and coherence of statements, logical and plausible development of argument, ability to formulate conclusions, and provide adequate examples. 2. Content (analytical skills/ insights and consistent critical argumentation (40%); the paper contains sufficient intellectual analysis and interpretation; provides examples, definitions (in needed), and research that supports points made within the paragraphs, and follows logical rigor. 3. Language Use and Punctuation (15 %) Simple and complex academic language structures used correctly, appropriate (academic) register is used. 4. Academic Conventions (10 %): the use of academic register reputable sources, reliable referencing, citations, etc. The examination paper (two open-ended questions) as a Whole The examination paper addresses the topic within chose theoretical and conceptual frameworks, follows the instruction in the assignment, and is submitted in a neatly presented 1, spaced Times New Roman 12 font format. 10 (Excellent) Excellent, outstanding knowledge and skills: the knowledge of the research material/theoretical framework is excellent; the student demonstrates a holistic approach to the subject matter the student coherently a

analysis; the student writes in fluent academic English. 9 (Very good) Solid, very good knowledge and skills; the knowledge of the student knows how to apply it in his term paper, his arguments are logical, well-argued; the student knows and understands the key concepts; the student writes in very good academic English. Above the average knowledge and skills; good knowledge of the research material/theoretical framework; examples are given, but not interpreted; the knowledge of the major concepts is good although occasional discrepancies are observed; the structure and organisation of the paper occasionally tacks criticism; uses correct academic English. 7 (Highly satisfactory); Average performance, knowledge and skills with some unessential shortcomings; the student faws on literary criticism; uses correct academic English to the research material/theoretical framework, is able to use it in knowledge, some discrepancies, alogisms are observed; in his analysis the student draws on literary criticism; the academic English that he or she uses has flaws. 6 (Satisfactory) Knowledge and skills are below average performance: the student draws on literary criticism; the academic English that he or she uses has flaws. 6 (Satisfactory) Knowledge and skills are below average performance: the student draws on invest a sufficient amount of time and effort into studying the subject; the student shows an inconsistent knowledge of the subject matter; or no knowledge at all; the hardly draws on the seco-hastonic, cultural, religious and plant of the subject matters or no knowledge at all; and hardly draws on the seco-hastonic, cultural, religious and plant of the subject matters or no knowledge at all; and hardly draws on the seco-hastonic, cultural, religious and plant of the proof. The period, the student does not the scancer materials theoretical framework in a part		the student engagementally year a threshold mathe district
9 (Very good) Solid, very good henovelege and skills: the knowledge of the solid, very good the student knows how to apply it in his term paper. It is agreed the student knows how to apply it in his term paper it is agreed the student knows and understands the key concepts; the student adequately uses a theoretical meteral to his analysis; the student writes in very good academic his analysis; the student writes in very good academic by the student adequately uses a theoretical restance of the research maleral/theoretical framework; examples are as good although to exast and discrepancies are observed; the structure and organisation of the paper occasionally factor of the structure and organisation of the paper occasionally factor criticism; uses correct academic English. Average performance, knowledge and skills with some unessential shortcomings; the student draws on literary criticism; uses correct academic English. Average performance, knowledge and skills with some unessential shortcomings; the student farmework, is also use it in an analysis the student draws on literary criticism; the academic English that he or she uses has flaws. 6 (Statisfactory) 6 (Statisfactory) 6 (Statisfactory) 7 (Statisfactory) 8 (Statisfactory) 9 (Statisfactory) 9 (Statisfactory) 10 (Statisfactory) 11 (Statisfactory) 12 (Statisfactory) 13 (Statisfactory) 14 (Statisfactory) 15 (Statisfactory) 16 (Statisfactory) 16 (Statisfactory) 17 (Statisfactory) 18 (Stat		the student appropriately uses a theoretical method in his analysis; the student writes in fluent academic English.
research malerial/theoretical framework is very good, the student knows how to apply it in his term paper; his arguments are the student writes in very concepts; the student adequately uses a theoretical method in his analysis; the student writes in very good academic English. 8 (Good) A (Good		9 (Very good)
student knows how to apply it in his term paper: his farguneds the student knows and understands he key concepts; the student adequately uses a theoretical modern and the property of the student adequately uses a theoretical modern and the property of the concepts; the student writes in very good academic English. 8 (Good) Above the average knowledge and skills: good knowledge of the research malernal/theoretical framework; examples are so good although occasional discrepanics are somework; the structure and organisation of the paper occasionally lades coherence, in his analysis the student draws on literary criticism; uses correct academic English. A verage performance, knowledge and skills with some unessential shortcomings; the student framework; as able to use it independently; the analysis, however, lacks in-dependently; the subject in the research material (horiented and logical structure; gives few examples; it seems that the student knows the most part of the research material/ theoretical and logical structure; gives few examples; it seems that the student did not invest a sufficient amount of time and effort into studying the subject; the student shows an inconsistent knowledge of the subject matery criticism, categories and prilosophical context or liststoreal, cultural, religions and prilosophical context or liststoreal, cultural, religions and prilosophical context or liststoreal, cultural, religions and prilosophical context or liststoreal framework that the student does not distance the subject material of the subject material of the context of the sub		research material/theoretical framework is very good, the
key cöncepts; the student adequately uses a theoretical method in his analysis; the student writes in very good academic B (Good) Above the average knowledge and skills: good knowledge of the research material/thoretical framework; examples are given, but not interpreted; the knowledge of the major concepts is good although occasional discrepancies are observed; the coherence, in his analysis the student draws on literary criticism; uses correct academic English. 7 (Highly satisfactory): Average performance, Average performance, Average performance, in the research material; theoretical framework, is able to use it independently; the analysis, however, lacks in-depth knowledge, some discrepancies, alogisms abserved; in his analysis the student draws on literary criticism; the academic for the student of the student of the student of the student framework is a student analysis, however, lacks in-depth knowledge, some discrepancies, alogisms abserved; in his analysis the student draws on literary criticism; the academic of (Statisfactory). Knowledge and skills are below average performance: the student knowledge of the student and the student armiework, but as he discusses it he shows lack of consistency, and the student armiework, but as he discusses it he shows lack of consistency, and the student armiework, but as he discusses it he shows an inconsistent knowledge of the subject matery or no knowledge at all; he hardly draws on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context of hierary criticism; academic English and the student has meeting the minimum requirements of he course to get a pass; a possible of the student and the student has meeting the minimum requirements of he course to get a pass; a possible of the student does not draw on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context of the period, the structure and his academic English is poor; the informal register is used throughout. The studentee of the student does not use academic English. N.B. Academic in		student knows how to apply it in his term paper; his arguments
in his analysis; the student writes in very good academic English. Above the average knowledge and skills: good knowledge of the research material/theoretical framework; examples are given, but not interpreted; the knowledge of the major concepts is good although occasional discrepancies are observed; the structure and organisation of the paper occasionally lacks criticism; uses correct academic English. 7 (Highly satisfactory): Average performance, knowledge and skills with some unessential shortcomings: the student is familiar with the research material, theoretical nanework, is able to use it is an all the control of the research material theoretical nanework is able to use it is an all the control of the research material in the		key concepts: the student adequately uses a theoretical method
Above the average knowledge and skills: good knowledge of the research malernal/theoretical framework are observed; the good although occasional discrepancies are observed; the structure and organisation of the paper occasionally lacks coherence, in his analysis the student good and stills with some unessential shortcomiangs; the student is familiar with the research material/ theoretical framework, is able to use in independently; the analysis, however, lacks in-depth knowledge, some discrepancies, alogisms abserved; in his analysis the student is familiar with the research material/ theoretical framework, is able to use in independently; the analysis, however, lacks in-depth knowledge, some discrepancies, alogisms abserved; in his analysis the student draws on literary criticism; the academic lingist that he or she uses has flaws. Knowledge and skills are below average performance; the student knows the most part of the research material/ theoretical framework, but as he discusses it he shows lack of consistency, and logical structure; gives few examples; it seems that the student did not invest a sufficient amount of time and effort into skills are the student did not invest a sufficient amount of time and effort into skills are the student did not invest a sufficient amount of time and effort into skills are the student did not invest a sufficient amount of time and effort into skills are the student has a sufficient amount of time and effort into skills are the student has a sufficient amount of time and effort into skills are the support of the subject matter; or no knowledge at all, he hardly draws on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context of historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context of historical cultural, religious and philosophical context of historical cultural, religious and philosophical context of the subject matter; or has not apply the knowledge the obtained is scarce; the student has meets the minimum requirements of the course is the subject matter		in his analysis; the student writes in very good academic
Above the average knowledge and skills: good knowledge of the research material/theoretical framework; examples are given, but not interpreted; the knowledge of the major concepts structure and organisation of the paper associated, lacks coherence, in his analysis the student draws on literary criticism; uses correct cademic English. 7 (Highly satisfactory): Average performance, knowledge and skills with some control of the paper should be a student of the paper of the research material/ theoretical framework, is able to use it independently; the analysis, however (in his analysis the student draws on literary criticism; the academic English that he or she uses has flaws. Knowledge, some discrepancies, alogisms are observed; in his analysis that he or she uses has flaws. Knowledge and skills are below average performance; the student knows the most part of the research material/ theoretical framework, but as he discusses it he shows lack of consistency, and logical structure; gives few examples; it seems that the student shows the most part of the research material/ theoretical framework, but as he discusses it he shows that inconsistent knowledge of the subject; the student shows an inconsistent knowledge of the subject matter; or no knowledge at all; he hardly draws on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context or literary criticism; academic English that he uses has mamy flaws. Knowledge and skills meet minimum criteria; the knowledge of the research materials/ theoretical framework that the student has meets the minimum requirements of the course to get a pass; as he writes he makes major mistakes; hardly knows how to apply the knowledge he managed to obtain throughout the course to get a pass; as he writes he makes major mistakes; hardly knows how to apply the knowledge of the subject matter; or hardly knows how to apply the knowledge of the subject matter; or hardly knows how to apply the knowledge of the subject matter, or hardly and proposition of the temp aper is dramatical		English. 8 (Good)
given, but not interpreted; the knowledge of the major concepts is good although occasional discrepancies are observed; the structure and organisation of the paper occasionally, lacks coherence, in his analysis the structure and organisation of the paper occasionally, lacks coherence, in his analysis the student draws on literary of the paper occasionally, lacks coherence, knowledge and skills with some unessential shortcomings; the student is familiar with the research material; theoretical framework is able to use it independently; the analysis he student draws on literary criticism; the academic Heighish that he or she uses has flaw; in the academic Heighish that he or she uses has flaw; in the student know on literary criticism; the academic Heighish that he or she uses has flaw; in the student knows the most part of the research material; theoretical framework, but as he discusses it he shows lack of consistency, student did not invest a sufficient amount of time and effort into studying the subject; the student shows an inconsistent knowledge of the subject; the student shows an inconsistent knowledge of the subject; the student shows an inconsistent knowledge of the subject; the student shows an inconsistent knowledge of the subject; the student shows an inconsistent knowledge of the subject; the student shows an inconsistent knowledge of the subject; the student shows an inconsistent knowledge of the subject; the student flow in the part of the course to get a pass; as he writes he makes major mistakes; hardly knows how to apply the knowledge he managed to obtain throughout the course; the knowledge he hardled is secrected the course; the knowledge he hardled is secrected to a subject matter; or has not a part of the par		Above the average knowledge and skills: good knowledge of
is good although occasional discrepancies are observed; the structure and organisation of the paper occasionally, lacks coherence, in his analysis the student draws on literary criticism; uses correct academic English. Average performance, knowledge and skills with some unessential shortcomings; the student is familiar with the research material; theoretical framework, is able to use it independently; the analysis, however, lacks in-depth knowledge, some discrepancies, adogisms are observed; in his analysis has be student draws on literary; criticism; the academic bit independently; the analysis, however, lacks in-depth knowledge, some discrepancies, adogisms are observed; in his analysis has be student and some student and so		
coherence, in his analysis the student draws on 'Interary criticism; uses correct academic English. 7 (Highly satisfactory): When the student is familiar with the research material, theoretical framework, is able to use it independently; the analysis, however, lacks in-depth knowledge, some discrepancies, alogisms are observed; in his analysis the student favas on literary criticism; the academic English that he or she uses has flaws. 6 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)		is good although occasional discrepancies are observed; the
criticism; uses correct academic English. 7 (Highly satisfactory): Anowledge and skills with some unessential shortcomings: the sident is familiar with the mischedge in the state of the		structure and organisation of the paper occasionally lacks coherence in his analysis the student draws on literary
Average performance, knowledge and skills, with some unessential shortcomings: the student is familiar with the research material/ theoretical framework, is able to use it independently; the analysis, however, lacks in-depth knowledge, some discrepancies, alogisms are observed; in his in the control of the research material is a strict of the student fails and the or she uses has flaws. 6 (Satisfactory) Knowledge and skills are below average performance: the student knows the most part of the research material/ theoretical framework, but as he discusses it he shows lack of consistency, and logical structure; gives few examples; it seems that the student did not invest a sufficient amount of time and effort into studying the subject; the student shows an inconsistent knowledge of the subject matter; or no knowledge at all; he hardly draws on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and bital he bises has many flaws. 5 (Sufficient) Knowledge and skills meet minimum criteria: the knowledge of the subject matter; criticism; academic English that he bises has many flaws. 5 (Sufficient) Knowledge and skills meet minimum criteria: the knowledge of the switch and any state of the research materials/ theoretical framework that the student has meets the minimum requirements of the course to get a pass: as he writes he makes major mistakes; hardly knows how to apply the knowledge he managed to obtain throughout the course; the knowledge he managed cultural, religious and philosophical context of the period; the structure and phil		criticism; uses correct academic English.
unessential shortcomings: the student skamliar with the research material/ theoretical framework, is able to use it independently: the analysis, however, lacks in-depth knowledge, some discrepancies, alogisms are observed; in his analysis the student draws on literary criticism; the academic behaviors of the student knows on literary criticism; the academic behaviors of the student knows the most part of the research material/ theoretical framework, but as he discusses it he shows lack of consistency, and logical structure; gives few sense that the student fidn on invest a sufficient amount of time and effort into studying the subject matter; or no knowledge at all; he student did not invest a sufficient shows an inconsistent knowledge of the subject matter; or no knowledge at all; he philosophical context or literary criticism; academic English that he uses has many flaws. 5 (Sufficient) Knowledge and skills meet minimum criteria; the knowledge of the research materials/ theoretical framework that the student has meets the minimum requirements of the course to get a pass; as he writes he makes major mistance hardly knows how to course; the knowledge he obtained is scarce; the student does not draw on the socio-historical cis scarce; the student does not draw on the socio-historical valuetary. Teligious and philosophical context of the period; the structure and organisation of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence, his academic English is poor; the informal register is used throughout. 4.3.2.1 (Insufficient) Knowledge and skills do not meet minimum criteria; the student failed to master the research materials/ theoretical framework. have very poor knowledge that he has is irrelevant and insufficient; the student does not use academic English and insufficient; the student does not use academic English and insufficient; the student does not use academic English and insufficient; the student does not use academic English and insufficient; the student does not use academic English and insufficient; th		7 (Highly satisfactory): Average performance knowledge and skills with some
independently: the analysis, however, lacks in-depth knowledge, some discrepancies, alogisms are observed; in his analysis the student draws on literary criticism; the academic English that he or she uses has flaws. 6 (Satisfactory) Knowledge and skills are below average performance: the structure of the student did not invest a sufficient amount of time and effort into studying the subject; the student shows an inconsistent knowledge of the subject matter; or no knowledge at all; he hardly draws on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context or literary criticism; academic English that he uses has many flaws. 5 (Sufficient) Knowledge and skills meet minimum criteria: the knowledge of the research materials? theoretical framework that the student has meets the minimum requirements of the course to get a pass; an apply the knowledge he hanaged to obtain throughout the course; the knowledge he hanaged to obtain throughout the course; the knowledge he obtained is scarce; the student does not draw on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context of the period; the structure and organisation of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence, his academic English is poor; the informal register is used throughout. 4.3. 21 (Insufficient) Knowledge and skills do not meet minimum criteria: the student does not draw on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context of the period; the structure and organisation of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence, his academic English is poor; the informal register is used throughout. 4.3. 21 (Insufficient) Knowledge and skills do not meet minimum criteria: the student failed to master the research materials/ theoretical framework: the student does not use academic English. N.B. Academic integrity In accordance with the university regulations (see arcticle 53.2 of Study Regulations of Vilnius and the student who committed the act may be subject to the explusion from the University. (https://www.vu.lt/s		unessential shortcomings: the student is familiar with the
knowledge, some discrepanices, alogisms are observed; in his analysis the student draws on literary criticism; the academic English that he or she uses has flaws. 6 (Satisfactory) Knowledge and skills are below average performance: the student knows the most part of the research material/ theoretical framework, but as he discusses it he shows an inconsistency, and logical structure; gives few examples; it seems that the studying the subject; the student shows an inconsistent knowledge of the subject matter; or no knowledge at all; he hardly draws on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context or literary criticism; academic English that he uses has many flaws. 5 (Sufficient) Knowledge and skills meet minimum criteria; the knowledge of the research materials/ theoretical framework that the student has meets the minimum requirements of the course to get a pass; as he writes he makes major mistakes; hardly knows how to apply the knowledge he managed to obtain throughout the course; the knowledge he managed to obtain throughout the course; the knowledge he obtained is scarce; the student does not draw on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context of the period; the student does not draw on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context of the period; the student does not have a context of the period; the student does not have a context of the period; the student does not have a context of the period; the student does not have a context of the period; the student failed to master the research materials' theoretical framework; has very poor knowledge of the subject, matter, or has no knowledge and skills do not meet minimum criteria: the student failed to master the research materials' theoretical framework; has very poor knowledge of the subject, matter, or has no knowledge at all; or the knowledge in the has is irrelevant and insufficient; the student does not use academic English. N.B. Academic integrity in accordance with the university en		research material/ theoretical framework, is able to use it independently; the analysis however lacks in-depth
English that he or she uses has llaws. 6 (Statisactory) Knowledge and skills are below average performance: the student knows the most part of the research material/ theoretical framework, but as he discusses it he shows lack of consistency, and logical structure; gives few examples; it seems that the student did not invest a sufficient amount of time and effort into knowledge and philosophical context or literary criticism; academic English that he uses has many flaws. 5 (Sufficient) Knowledge and skills meet minimum criteria: the knowledge at all; he hardly draws on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context or literary criticism; academic English that he uses has many flaws. 5 (Sufficient) Knowledge and skills meet minimum criteria: the knowledge of the research materials/ theoretical framework that the student has meets the minimum requirements of the course to get a pass; as he writes he makes major mistakes; hardly knows how to apply the knowledge he managed to obtain throughout the course; the knowledge he managed to obtain throughout the course; the knowledge he managed to obtain throughout the course; the knowledge he managed to obtain throughout the course; the knowledge he managed to apply and philosophical context of the period; the structure and organisation of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence: 10		knowledge, some discrepancies, alogisms are observed; in his
Section		analysis the student draws on literary criticism; the academic
student knows the most part of the research material theoretical framework, but as he discusses it he shows lack of consistency, and logical structure; gives few examples; it seems that the student did not invest a sufficient amount of time and effort into studying the subject; the student shows an inconsistent knowledge of the subject materic, or no knowledge at all; he hardly draws on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context or literary criticism; academic English that he uses has many flaws. 5 (Sufficient) Knowledge and skills meet minimum criteria: the knowledge of the research materials/ theoretical framework that the student has meets the minimum requirements of the course to get a pass; as he writes he makes major mistakes; hardly knows how to apply the knowledge he managed to obtain throughout the course; the knowledge he managed to obtain throughout the course; the knowledge he managed to obtain throughout draw on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context of the period; the structure and organisation of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence; his academic English is poor; the informal register is used throughout. 4.3.71 (Insufficient) Knowledge and skills do not meet minimum criteria: the student failed to master the research materials/ theoretical framework; has very poor knowledge of the subject matter; or has no knowledge at all; or the knowledge that he has is irrelevant and insufficient; the student does not use academic English. N.B. Academic integrity. In accordance with the university regulations (see article 53.2 of Study Regulations of Vilnius University (https://www.vu.lt/site_files/Studiju_nostatai_2018_EN.pdf), any student who commits an act of academic dishonesty (such as plagiarism or other form of cheating) will receive a falling grade on the work in which the dishonesty occurred. In addition, any act of academic dishonesty occurred in addition, any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the whole course a		6 (Satisfactory)
framework, but as he discusses it he shows lack of consistency, and logical structure; gives few examples; it seems that the student did not invest a sufficient amount of time and effort into studying the subject; the student shows an inconsistent knowledge of the subject matter; or no knowledge at all; he hardly draws on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context or literary criticism; academic English that he uses has many flaws. 5 (Sufficient) Knowledge and skills meet minimum criteria: the knowledge of the research materials/ theoretical framework that the student has meets the minimum requirements of the course to get a pass; as he writes he makes major mistakes; hardly knows how to apply the knowledge he managed to obtain throughout the course; the knowledge he managed to obtain throughout the course; the knowledge he managed to obtain throughout the course; the knowledge he obtained is scarce; the student does not draw, on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context of the period; the structure and organisation of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence; the student may be a subject of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence; the student context of the period; the structure and organisation of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence; the student context of the period; the structure and organisation of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence; the student context of the period; the structure and organisation of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence; the student context of the period; the structure and organisation of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence; the structure and organisation of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence; the structure and philosophical context of the period; the structure and organisation of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence; the structure and philosophical context of the period; the structure and philosophical context of the period; the structure and provided the st		Knowledge and skills are below average performance: the student knows the most part of the research material/theoretical
student did not invest a sufficient amount of time and effort into studying the subject; the student shows an inconsistent knowledge of the subject matter; or no knowledge at all; he hardly draws on the socionistorical, cultural, religious and philosophical context or literary criticism; academic English that he uses has many flaws. 5 (Sufficient) Knowledge and skills meet minimum criteria: the knowledge of the research materials/ theoretical framework that the student has meets the minimum remments of the course to get a pass; as he writes he makes major mistakes; hardly knows how to apply the knowledge he obtained is scarce; the student does not draw on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context of the period; the structure and organisation of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence; his academic English is poor; the informal register is used throughout. 4.3, 2.1 (Insufficient) Knowledge and skills do not meet minimum criteria: the student failed to master the research materials/ theoretical framework: has very poor knowledge of the subject matter; or has no knowledge at all; or the knowledge that he has is irrelevant and insufficient; the student does not use academic English. N.B. Academic integrity In accordance with the university regulations (see article 53.2 of Study Regulations of Vilnius University) (https://www.vu.lt/site_files/Studiju_nuostatai_2018_EN.pdf), any student who commits an act of academic dishonesty (such as a plagiarism or other form of cheating) will receive a failing grade on the work in which the dishonesty occurred. In addition, any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the willole course and the student who has committed the act may be subject to the expulsion from the University. The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to tackle these issues independe		framework, but as he discusses it he shows lack of consistency,
studying the subject; the student shows an inconsistent knowledge of the subject matter; or no knowledge at all; he hardly draws on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context or literary criticism; academic English that he uses has many flaws. 5 (Sufficient) Knowledge and skills meet minimum criteria: the knowledge of the research materials/ theoretical framework that the student has meets the minimum requirements of the course to get a pass: as he writes he makes major mistakes; hardly knows how to apply the knowledge he imanaged to obtain throughout the course; the knowledge he imanaged to obtain throughout the course; the knowledge he obtained is scarce; the student does not draw on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context of the period; the structure and organisation of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence; the student does not of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence; the student failed to master the research materials/ theoretical framework; the student failed to master the research materials/ theoretical framework; has very poor knowledge of the subject matter; or has no knowledge at all; or the knowledge that he has is irrelevant and insufficient; the student does not use academic English. N.B. Academic integrity. In accordance with the university regulations (see article 53.2 of Study Regulations of Vilnius University (https://www.vu.lt/site_files/Studiju_nuostatai_2018_EN.pdf), any student who commits an act of academic dishonesty (such as plagiarism or other form of cheating) will receive a failing grade on the work in which the dishonesty occurred. In addition, any act of academic dishonesty occurred. In addition, any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the whole course and the student who has committed the act may be subject to the expulsion from the University. The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering t		and logical structure; gives few examples; it seems that the
hardly draws on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context interary criticism; academic English that he uses has many flaws. 5 (Sufficient) Knowledge and skills meet minimum criteria: the knowledge of the research materials/ theoretical framework that the student has meets the minimum requirements of the course to get a pass; as he writes he makes major mistakes; hardly knows how to apply the knowledge he imanaged to obtain throughout the course; the knowledge he bottained is scarce; the student does not draw on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context of the period; the structure and organisation of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence in a cademic English is poor; the informal register is used throughout. 4,3, 2,1 (Insufficient) Knowledge and skills do not meet minimum criteria: the student failed to master the research materials/ theoretical framework; has very poor knowledge of the subject matter; or has no knowledge at all; or the knowledge that he has is irrelevant and insufficient; the student does not use academic English. N.B. Academic integrity. In accordance with the university regulations (see article 53.2 of Study Regulations of Vilnius University (https://www.vu.lt/site_files/Studiju_nuostatai_2018_EN.pdf), any student who commits an act of academic dishonesty (such as plagiarism or other form of cheating) will receive a failing grade on the work in which the dishonesty occurred. In addition, any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the whole course and the student who has committed the act may be subject to the expulsion from the University. The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues		studying the subject; the student shows an inconsistent
philosophical context or literary criticism; academic English that he uses has many flaws. 5 (Sufficient) Knowledge and skills meet minimum criteria: the knowledge of the research materials/ theoretical framework that the student has meets the minimum requirements of the course to get a pass: as he writes he makes major mistakes; hardly know's how to apply the knowledge he managed to obtain throughout the course; the knowledge he obtained is scarce; the student does not draw on the Socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context of the period; the structure and organisation of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence; his academic English is poor; the informal register is used throughout. 4.3, 2,1 (Insufficient) Knowledge and skills do not meet minimum criteria: the student failed to master the research materials/ theoretical framework; has very poor knowledge of the subject matter; or has no knowledge at all; or the knowledge that he has is irrelevant and insufficient; the student does not use academic English. N.B. Academic integrity In accordance with the university regulations (see article 53.2 of Study Regulations of Vilnius University (https://www.vu.lt/site_files/Studiju_nuostatai_2018_EN.pdf), any student who commits an act of academic dishonesty (such as plagiarism or other form of cheating) will receive a failing grade on the work in which the dishonesty occurred. In addition, any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the whole course and the student who has committed the act may be subject to the expulsion from the University. Attendance requirements The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		knowledge of the subject matter; or no knowledge at all; he
Soufficient) Knowledge and skills meet minimum criteria: the knowledge of the research materials/ theoretical framework that the student has meets the minimum requirements of the course to get a pass: as he writes he managed to obtain throughout the course; the knowledge he managed to obtain throughout the course; the knowledge he obtained is scarce; the student does not draw on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context of the period; the structure and organisation of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence; his academic English is poor; the informal register is used throughout. 4.3, 2,1 (Insufficient) Knowledge and skills do not meet minimum criteria: the student failed to master the research materials/ theoretical framework; has very poor knowledge of the subject matter; or has no knowledge at all; or the knowledge that he has is irrelevant and insufficient; the student does not use academic English. N.B. Academic integrity In accordance with the university regulations (see article 53.2 of Study Regulations of Vilnius University (https://www.vu.lt/site_files/Studiju_nuostatai_2018_EN.pdf), any student who commits an act of academic dishonesty (such as plagiarism or other form of cheating) will receive a failing grade on the work in which the dishonesty occurred. In addition, any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the whole course and the student who has committed the act may be subject to the expulsion from the University. The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		philosophical context or literary criticism; academic English
Knowledge and skills meet minimum criteria: the knowledge of the research materials/ theoretical framework that the student has meets the minimum requirements of the course to get a pass: as he writes he makes major mistakes; hardly knows how to apply the knowledge he obtained its scarce; the student does not draw on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context of the period; the structure and organisation of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence; his academic English is poor; the informal register is used throughout. 4.3. 2.1 (Insufficient) Knowledge and skills do not meet minimum criteria: the student does not use academic failed to master the research materials/ theoretical framework; has very poor knowledge of the subject matter; or has no knowledge at all; or the knowledge that he has is irrelevant and insufficient; the student does not use academic English. N.B. Academic integrity. In accordance with the university regulations (see article 53.2 of Study Regulations of Vilnius University (https://www.vu.lt/site_files/Studiju_nuostatai_2018_EN.pdf), any student who commits an act of academic dishonesty (such as plagiarism or other form of cheating) will receive a failing grade on the work in which the dishonesty occurred. In addition, any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the whole course and the student who has committed the act may be subject to the expulsion from the University. Attendance requirements Attendance requirements The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		
has meets the minimum requirements of the course to get a pass; as he writes he makes major mistakes; hardly knows how to apply the knowledge he managed to obtain throughout the course; the knowledge he obtained is scarce; the student does not draw on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context of the period; the structure and organisation of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence his academic English is poor; the informal register is used throughout. 4,3, 2,1 (Insufficient) Knowledge and skills do not meet minimum criteria: the student failed to master the research materials/ theoretical framework; has very poor knowledge of the subject matter; or has no knowledge at all; or the knowledge that he has is irrelevant and insufficient; the student does not use academic English. N.B. Academic integrity. In accordance with the university regulations (see article 53.2 of Study Regulations of Vilnius University (https://www.vu.lt/site_files/Studiju_nuostatai_2018_EN.pdf), any student who commits an act of academic dishonesty (such as plagiarism or other form of cheating) will receive a failing grade on the work in which the dishonesty occurred. In addition, any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the whole course and the student who has committed the act may be subject to the expulsion from the University. Attendance requirements The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		Knowledge and skills meet minimum criteria: the knowledge of
as he writes he makes major mistakes; hardly knows how to apply the knowledge he managed to obtain throughout the course; the knowledge he obtained is scarce; the student does not draw on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context of the period; the structure and organisation of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence; his academic English is poor; the informal register is used throughout. 4.3, Z.1 (Insufficient) Knowledge and skills do not meet minimum criteria: the student failed to master the research materials/ theoretical framework; has very poor knowledge of the subject matter; or has no knowledge at all; or the knowledge that he has is irrelevant and insufficient; the student does not use academic English. N.B. Academic integrity In accordance with the university regulations (see article 53.2 of Study Regulations of Vilnius University (https://www.vu.lt/site_files/Studiju_noostatai_2018_EN.pdf), any student who commits an act of academic dishonesty (such as plagiarism or other form of cheating) will receive a failing grade on the work in which the dishonesty occurred, In addition, any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the whole course and the student who has committed the act may be subject to the expulsion from the University. Attendance requirements The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		the research materials/ theoretical framework that the student
course; the knowledge he obtained is scarce; the student does not draw on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context of the period; the structure and organisation of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence; his academic English is poor; the informal register is used throughout. 4,3,2,1 (Insufficient) Knowledge and skills do not meet minimum criteria: the student failed to master the research materials/ theoretical framework; has very poor knowledge of the subject matter; or has no knowledge at all; or the knowledge that he has is irrelevant and insufficient; the student does not use academic English. N.B. Academic integrity In accordance with the university regulations (see article 53.2 of Study Regulations of Vilnius University (https://www.vu.lt/site_files/Studiju_nuostatai_2018_EN.pdf), any student who commits an act of academic dishonesty (such as plagiarism or other form of cheating) will receive a failing grade on the work in which the dishonesty occurred. In addition, any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the whole course and the student who has committed the act may be subject to the expulsion from the University. Attendance requirements Attendance requirements The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		as he writes he makes major mistakes; hardly knows how to
not draw on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and philosophical context of the period; the structure and organisation of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence; his academic English is poor; the informal register is used throughout. 4.3, 2, 1 (Insufficient) Knowledge and skills do not meet minimum criteria: the student failed to master the research materials/ theoretical framework; has very poor knowledge of the subject matter; or has no knowledge at all; or the knowledge that he has is irrelevant and insufficient; the student does not use academic English. N.B. Academic integrity In accordance with the university regulations (see article 53.2 of Study Regulations of Vilnius University (https://www.vu.lt/site_files/Studiju_nuostatai_2018_EN.pdf), any student who commits an act of academic dishonesty (such as plagiarism or other form of cheating) will receive a failing grade on the work in which the dishonesty occurred. In addition, any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the whole course and the student who has committed the act may be subject to the expulsion from the University. Attendance requirements The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		apply the knowledge he managed to obtain throughout the
organisation of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence; his academic English is poor; the informal register is used throughout. 4,3,2,1 (Insufficient) Knowledge and skills do not meet minimum criteria: the student failed to master the research materials/ theoretical framework; has very poor knowledge of the subject matter; or has no knowledge at all; or the knowledge that he has is irrelevant and insufficient; the student does not use academic English. N.B. Academic integrity In accordance with the university regulations (see article 53.2 of Study Regulations of Vilnius University (https://www.yu.lt/site_files/Studiju_nuostatai_2018_EN.pdf), any student who commits an act of academic dishonesty (such as plagiarism or other form of cheating) will receive a failing grade on the work in which the dishonesty occurred. In addition, any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the whole course and the student who has committed the act may be subject to the expulsion from the University. Attendance requirements The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		not draw on the socio-historical, cultural, religious and
his academic English is poor; the informal register is used throughout. 4.3, 2.1 (Insufficient) Knowledge and skills do not meet minimum criteria; the student failed to master the research materials/ theoretical framework; has very poor knowledge of the subject matter; or has no knowledge at all; or the knowledge that he has is irrelevant and insufficient; the student does not use academic English. N.B. Academic integrity In accordance with the university regulations (see article 53.2 of Study Regulations of Vilnius University (https://www.yu.lt/site_files/Studiju_nuostatai_2018_EN.pdf), any student who commits an act of academic dishonesty (such as plagiarism or other form of cheating) will receive a failing grade on the work in which the dishonesty occurred. In addition, any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the whole course and the student who has committed the act may be subject to the expulsion from the University. Attendance requirements The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		philosophical context of the period; the structure and organisation of the term paper is dramatically lacks coherence:
4.3, 2.1 (Insufficient) Knowledge and skills do not meet minimum criteria: the student failed to master the research materials/ theoretical framework; has very poor knowledge of the subject matter; or has no knowledge at all; or the knowledge that he has is irrelevant and insufficient; the student does not use academic English. N.B. Academic integrity In accordance with the university regulations (see article 53.2 of Study Regulations of Vilnius University (https://www.yu.lt/site_files/Studiju_nuostatai_2018_EN.pdf), any student who commits an act of academic dishonesty (such as plagiarism or other form of cheating) will receive a failing grade on the work in which the dishonesty occurred. In addition, any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the whole course and the student who has committed the act may be subject to the expulsion from the University. Attendance requirements The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		his academic English is poor; the informal register is used
(Insufficient) Knowledge and skills do not meet minimum criteria: the student failed to master the research materials/ theoretical framework; has very poor knowledge of the subject matter; or has no knowledge at all; or the knowledge that he has is irrelevant and insufficient; the student does not use academic English. N.B. Academic integrity In accordance with the university regulations (see article 53.2 of Study Regulations of Vilnius University (https://www.vu.lt/site_files/Studiju_nuostatai_2018_EN.pdf), any student who commits an act of academic dishonesty (such as plagiarism or other form of cheating) will receive a failing grade on the work in which the dishonesty occurred. In addition, any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the whole course and the student who has committed the act may be subject to the expulsion from the University. Attendance requirements The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		
failed to master the research materials/ theoretical framework; has very poor knowledge of the subject matter; or has no knowledge at all; or the knowledge that he has is irrelevant and insufficient; the student does not use academic English. N.B. Academic integrity In accordance with the university regulations (see article 53.2 of Study Regulations of Vilnius University (https://www.vu.lt/site_files/Studiju_nuostatai_2018_EN.pdf), any student who commits an act of academic dishonesty (such as plagiarism or other form of cheating) will receive a failing grade on the work in which the dishonesty occurred. In addition, any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the whole course and the student who has committed the act may be subject to the expulsion from the University. Attendance requirements The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		(Insufficient)
has very poor knowledge of the subject matter; or has no knowledge at all; or the knowledge that he has is irrelevant and insufficient; the student does not use academic English. N.B. Academic integrity In accordance with the university regulations (see article 53.2 of Study Regulations of Vilnius University (https://www.vu.lt/site_files/Studiju_nuostatai_2018_EN.pdf), any student who commits an act of academic dishonesty (such as plagiarism or other form of cheating) will receive a failing grade on the work in which the dishonesty occurred. In addition, any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the whole course and the student who has committed the act may be subject to the expulsion from the University. Attendance requirements The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		Knowledge and skills do not meet minimum criteria: the student failed to master the research materials/ theoretical framework
N.B. Academic integrity In accordance with the university regulations (see article 53.2 of Study Regulations of Vilnius University (https://www.vu.lt/site_files/Studiju_nuostatai_2018_EN.pdf), any student who commits an act of academic dishonesty (such as plagiarism or other form of cheating) will receive a failing grade on the work in which the dishonesty occurred. In addition, any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the whole course and the student who has committed the act may be subject to the expulsion from the University. Attendance requirements The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		has very poor knowledge of the subject matter; or has no
N.B. Academic integrity In accordance with the university regulations (see article 53.2 of Study Regulations of Vilnius University (https://www.vu.lt/site_files/Studiju_nuostatai_2018_EN.pdf), any student who commits an act of academic dishonesty (such as plagiarism or other form of cheating) will receive a failing grade on the work in which the dishonesty occurred. In addition, any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the whole course and the student who has committed the act may be subject to the expulsion from the University. Attendance requirements The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		knowledge at all; or the knowledge that he has is irrelevant and insufficient; the student does not use academic English
University (https://www.vu.lt/site_files/Studiju_nuostatai_2018_EN.pdf), any student who commits an act of academic dishonesty (such as plagiarism or other form of cheating) will receive a failing grade on the work in which the dishonesty occurred. In addition, any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the whole course and the student who has committed the act may be subject to the expulsion from the University. Attendance requirements The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		
University (https://www.vu.lt/site_files/Studiju_nuostatai_2018_EN.pdf), any student who commits an act of academic dishonesty (such as plagiarism or other form of cheating) will receive a failing grade on the work in which the dishonesty occurred. In addition, any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the whole course and the student who has committed the act may be subject to the expulsion from the University. Attendance requirements The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		N.B. Academic integrity in accordance with the university regulations (see article 53.2 of Study Regulations of Vilnius
any student who commits an act of academic dishonesty (such as plagiarism or other form of cheating) will receive a failing grade on the work in which the dishonesty occurred. In addition, any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the whole course and the student who has committed the act may be subject to the expulsion from the University. Attendance requirements The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		University
as plagrarism or other form of cheating) will receive a failing grade on the work in which the dishonesty occurred. In addition, any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the whole course and the student who has committed the act may be subject to the expulsion from the University. Attendance requirements The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		(https://www.vu.lt/site_files/Studiju_nuostatai_2018_EN.pdf), any student who commits an act of academic dishonesty (such
Attendance requirements The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		as plagiarism or other form of cheating) will receive a failing
Attendance requirements The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		grade on the work in which the dishonesty occurred. In addition, any act of academic dishonesty will result in the failure of the
Attendance requirements The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected. Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		whole course and the student who has committed the act may
Students who choose not to attend the seminars accept the responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for	Attendance requirements	
responsibility for mastering the course material on their own: should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		The attendance of seminars is recommended and expected.
should there be any questions related to the material studied in class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		-
class (and individually), the students have to tackle these issues independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		* *
independently. The teacher of the course is not responsible for		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ine students ignorance.		
	<u> </u>	pho statement ignorance.

Course policy regarding the use of electronic devices in class
The usage of electronic devices (e.g. laptops, tablets, cell phones, smart phones, e-readers, music players, etc.) during class is only allowed for study and research purposes, when it is required for in-class assignments (assigned by the course instructor), for contacting group members (when such need arises), or in cases of emergency. Mobile phones should be silenced and put away during the entire seminar, unless they are used for abovementioned learning purposes and prior permission to use them from the course instructor is granted. If a student has an emergency situation that requires keeping his/her phone on, he/she must inform the course instructor beforehand. Taking photos, videos and making sound recordings in class are forbidden, unless instructed otherwise by the professor or unless students provide documents that outline the requirements for necessary accommodations of the use of electronic devices due to disability or other diagnosed health issues.
Retake policy
The retake (the examination paper only) for both home and international students will take place in the first two weeks of September 2021 at the date set by the instructor of the course. Retakes are only allowed for students failing the course, not to improve one's grade.

Author	Year of publica ti on	Title	Issue of a periodical or volume of a publication	Publishing place and house or web link
Compulsory reading				
Anderson, J. Kristin	2014	Modern Misogyny. Anti- Feminism in a Post-Feminist Era		Oxford: Oxford University Press
Aström, Berit	2018	"Post-Feminist Fatherhood and the Marginalization of the Mother in Cormac McCarthy's The Road" (p.1-18)		Uploaded on the VMA
Atwood, Margaret	1987	The Handmaid's Tale		Virago Press
Barth, John	1967/ 1984	The Literature of Exhaustion; The Literature of Replenishment in: The Friday Book: Essays and Other Non- Fiction		London: The John Hopkins University Press
Bašić, Sonja		Uncertainties: Joyce's "Dubliners""	Source: Style, Fall 1991, Vol. 25, No. 3, James Joyce's Dubliners (Fall 1991), pp. 351- 377	
Beasley, Rebecca	2007	Theorists of Modernist Poetry. T.S. Eliot, T.E. Hulme and Ezra Pound		London, New York: Routledge
Benstock, Bernard			Source: Modern Fiction Studies, Winter 1988, Vol. 34, No. 4 (Winter 1988), pp. 519-539	

Boever, de Arne	2013	Narrative Care: Biopolitics and the Novel		London: Bloomsbury
Carter, Angela	1996	The Magic Toyshop		London: Penguin Books
Carter, Angela		"Notes on the Gothic Mode"	Source: The Iowa Review. Volume 6, Issue 3 summer-fall; article 56	
Estes, Keller Andrew	2013	Cormac McCarthy and the Writing of American Spaces		Amsterdam, New York, NY: Rodopi
Franzen, Jonathan	2002	Writing of American Spaces "Why Bother?" in How to Be Alone) (uploaded on the VMA))	New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux
Groover, K. Kristina		"The conditions of our love": Seeking and Desiring in "Jacob's Room"	Source: South Atlantic Review [2012], Vol. 77, No. 3/4 ([2012]), pp. 45-57	
Huber, Irmtraud	2014	Literature After Postmodernism. Reconstructive Fantasies		London, New York: Palgrave Macmillan
Hutcheon, Linda	2003	A Poetics of Postmodernism. History, Theory, Fiction		London, New York: Routledge
Hutcheon, Linda	2002	The Politics of Postmodernism		London, New York: Routledge
Hutcheon, Linda	1980	Narcissist Narrative. The Metafictional Paradox		Ontario: Wilfrid Laurier University Press
Ishiguro, Kazuo	1989	The Remains of the Day		London: Faber and Faber
Jameson, Frederic	1990	Postmodernism, or, the Cultural Logic of Late Capitalism		Durham: Duke University Press
Joyce, James	1990	Dubliners		Penguin Twentieth- Century Classics
Joyce, James	2004	A Portrait of the Artist as a Young man		London: Barnes & Noble Classics
Koulouris, Theodore		"Jacques Derrida in Virginia Woolf: Death, Loss and Mourning in Jacob's Room"	Coast Philology, 2011, Vol. 46 (2011), pp. 65- 79	
Labudovà, Katarinà	2019		Brno Studies in English Volume 45, No. 1, 2019 ISSN 0524- 6881, e-ISSN 1805-0867 https://doi.org/10 .5817/BSE2019- 1-10	
Lyotard, Jean-Francois	1984	The Postmodern Condition: A Report on Knowledge		Manchester: Manchester Univ. Press
McCarthy, Cormac	2007	The Road		London, New York: Vintage International
McLaughlin, L.Robert	2004	"Post-Postmodern Discontent: Contemporary Fiction and the Social World"	Source: symplokē, 2004, Vol. 12, No. 1/2, Fiction's Present (2004), pp. 53- 68	

			Published by: University of Nebraska Press Stable URL: https://www.jstor	
			.org/stable/40550	
Parsons, Deborah	2006	Theorists of the Modernist Novel. James Joyce, Dorothy Richardson, Virginia Woolf	666	London, New York: Routledge
Read, Forrest (ed.)	1967	Pound/Joyce. The Letters of Ezra Pound to James Joyce with Pound's Essays on Joyce		New York: A New Directions Book
Swift, Graham	1994	Waterland		Picador
Tatar, Maria	2015	The Cambridge Companion to Fairy Tales		Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
Waugh, Patricia	1984	Metafiction. The Theory and Practice of Self-Conscious Fiction		London, New York: Routledge
Winterson, Jeanette	1985	Oranges Are not the Only Fruit		London: Vintage
Woolf, Virginia		(1966–7) Collected Essays, 4 vols, London: Hogarth Press.		Uploaded on the VMA
		(1977–84) The Diary of Virginia Woolf, 5 vols, eds. Anne Olivier Bell and Andrew McNeillie, London: Hogarth Press.		
		(1986–94) The Essays of Virginia Woolf, 4 vols, ed. Andrew McNeillie, London: Hogarth Press. Jacob's Room		
Woolf, Virginia	1992	Jacob's Room		London: Penguin Books
Woolf, Virginia	1992	Mrs. Dalloway		Penguin Twentieth- Century Classics
Zwerdling, Alex		"Jacob's Room: Woolf's Satiric Elegy"	Source: ELH Winter, 1981, Vol. 48, No. 4 (Winter, 1981), pp. 894-913 Published by: The Johns Hopkins University Press	
Optional reading Allen, Graham	2003	Intertextuality		London: Routledge
Agamben, Giorgio	1998	Homo Sacer: Sovereign Power and Bare State	•	Stanford: Stanford University Press
Childs, Peter	2005	Contemporary Novelists. British Fiction Since 1970		London: Palgrave
Genette, Gerard	2001	Paratexts: Thresholds of Interpretation		Cambridge Univ. Press
Olson, Liesl	2009	Modernism and the Ordinary		Oxford: Oxford University Press
Stierstorfer, Klaus (ed.)	2003	Beyond Postmodernism. Reassessments in Literature, Theory, and Culture		Berlin & New York: Walter de Gruyter

The course description revised and updated on February 4, 2021